1	THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	LAKE UNION DRYDOCK COMPANY, INC.,	At Law or In Admiralty
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	No. C09-0141-RSM
13	JJM CONSTRUCTION LTD., in personam, and BARGE 308, in rem,	ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT
14	Defendants.	COOMENT
15	Defendants.	
16	This matter came before the Court on Plaintiff L	ake Union Drydock Company's
17	motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 for summary judgment on its breach of contract claim	
18		
19	against Defendant JJM Construction Ltd. The Court considered plaintiff's motion, the	
20	declarations of George Neilson, Jim Francis, and Hobie Stebbins III, and the exhibits	
21	attached thereto, defendant's lack of opposition, and pla	untiff's reply. Based on the evidence
- 1	1	

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT – Page 1 [No. C09-0141-RSM] 27186 ke200705

presented, the Court HEREBY FINDS:

22

23

LE GROS BUCHANAN & PAUL 701 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2500 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-7051 (206) 623-4990

23

- 1. The evidence presented establishes the absence of a genuine issue of material fact as to each element of plaintiff's breach of contract claim;
- 2. Defendant entered into a binding contract with plaintiff on January 30, 2008 for the repair of defendant's barges ME-308 and ME-311: the elements of a binding contract are established by LUDD's offer of the contract, JJM's acceptance of the contract and its terms by signature, and valid consideration in the form of LUDD's promise to perform repair work and JJM's promise to pay;
- Plaintiff completed the work contracted for and invoiced defendant accordingly;
- 4. Defendant approved the work and the amounts invoiced. Yet, defendant inexcusably failed to pay plaintiff for any work performed under the contract for work performed on the ME-308 and ME-311;
- 5. Defendant has failed to establish any defense to its obligations under the contract;
- 6. Accordingly, under the contract, plaintiff is as a matter of law entitled to payment of amounts invoiced in the total amount of \$763,284.61 plus interest at two percent per month, or \$206,190;
- 7. As the prevailing party, plaintiff is also entitled to reasonable attorney fees and costs under the contract. Accordingly, plaintiff shall file its cost bill within ten days of his order for determination by this Court of its reasonable fees and costs.
- 8. Plaintiff also incurred consequential damages in the amount of moorage charges incurred to moor the ME-308 alongside its pier in the amount of \$26,196 due to

1

defendant's contract breach and failure to remove the ME-308 upon completion of the contract work and delivery;

- 9. No genuine issue of material fact precludes summary judgment, as defendant plaintiff cannot raise a genuine issue of material fact as to any element of plaintiff's claim; and
  - 10. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Based on the above findings, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.

Plaintiff is HEREBY awarded damages as follows:

1. Judgment Creditor: Plaintiff Lake Union Drydock Company

2. Judgment Debtor: Defendant JJM Construction Ltd.

3. Amount of Judgment:

i. Amounts invoiced: \$763,284.61

ii. Moorage: \$26,196

Total: \$789,480.61

4. Amount of Interest Owed to Date of Judgment: Two percent per month on the following amounts from the following due dates:

i. On \$251,889.61 from April 10, 2008: \$73,047.98

ii. On \$491,910 from April 1, 2008: \$142,653.90

iii. On \$19,485 from May 18, 2008: \$5,066.10

5. Reasonable Attorney Fees and Costs: Unknown and will be

summarized when cost bill is

filed by plaintiff.

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT – Page 4 [No. C09-0141-RSM]

27186 ke200705

23

LE GROS BUCHANAN & PAUL 701 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2500 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-7051 (206) 623-4990